



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

### Contents

**The Tyranny Of Biosecurity By Ian Wilson LL.B.....2**  
**Posted To Henry Makow’s Site.....2**  
**The Radioactive Multicult By Uncle "Glow-In-The-Dark" Len .....2**  
**Property, Freedom And Social Credit By James Reed.....3**  
**Rocco Galati And The Lawsuit ‘Against’ The Bank Of Canada.....3**  
**Alan Jones Fights For The ‘Little Man’ By Betty Luks .....4**  
**For The Record: The New Class Agenda For Socio-Racial Control By Betty Luks.....5**  
**Dairy Cows Intensely Happy Under Cover By Sue Neales. ....6**  
**How Greed Turns A Dairy Cow Into A Machine By Dr. David Pascoe BVSc PhD. ....6**  
**The Contaminated Food Chain By Betty Luks .....7**  
**Baltimore: The Shape Of Things To Come By Peter Ewer.....8**

### Thought for the Week

Governments are like fire: good servants but destructive masters. A Democratic Society is one in which the will of the people prevails. Growing frustration with Australian party politics is the result of a feeling that the will of the electors is not being reflected in the Parliaments of the nation. This has resulted in an unhealthy and dangerous cynicism concerning parliamentary institutions. At one time Australians led the world in political reforms.

Australians can, to echo the words of a famous British Prime Minister, save themselves by their own exertions and the rest of the world by their example. They are challenged to regenerate the institutions their forefathers bequeathed to them, or to perish as a free nation. The Swiss constitutional system of the Initiative Referendum and Recall, widely discussed in the early years of the Australian Federation, provides an inspiring example of what can, and must be done.

#### Introducing the system of Initiative, Referendum and Recall

Ref: <http://alor.org/Library/Initiative%20Referendum%20and%20Recall.htm>

**ND comment:** The foregoing link is worth exploring to discover past efforts in this regard, none of which were carried through; so we must ask, why? The concept is a ‘three pronged’ form of ‘participatory democracy’. For a campaign of this nature to be successful it needs to be broken down into more manageable steps. Any Constitutional change requires support of politicians in the first instance so realistically, what politician is going to support their own ‘recall’ to face the electorate? Secondly, only a few politicians are going to support

the ‘Power of Veto’ by the people after they have debated and passed legislation. There remains the right of citizens to ‘initiate laws’ by way of referendum. This is where we should start. Political opposition to ‘citizen’s initiated referenda’ — CIR— can be fairly easily negated by asking “are you opposed to people having a say in their own affairs?” The elected representatives may be unhappy but it would be suicidal to answer ‘yes’ to the question. Once CIR is accepted then ‘Veto’ and ‘Recall’ can be introduced in their time with ‘citizen’s initiated

referenda’ and a high degree of success likely if accompanied by an education campaign but little will be accomplished with a continued ‘package deal’ of ‘CIR, VETO and RECALL’. **FIRST you MUST have the elected politicians support for without that all else is a waste of time.** Again, it may be better to concentrate in the Local Government arena... the framework is already there because councilors are supposed to consult with their constituents so what better way than with ‘citizen’s initiated referenda’ or CIR? It can be done!

# The Tyranny of Biosecurity: Forced Vaccinations, Quarantines and GAOL are Now Here

By Ian Wilson LL.B.

While we, the people were sleeping, the Biosecurity Bill 2014 cleared Parliament. This Act relates to "diseases and pests that cause harm to humans, animal or plant health, or the environment, and for related purposes". Of concern to us is the power, which is quite extraordinary, given in Chapter 2, to manage human health, with respect to diseases that "cause significant harm to human health". These diseases are listed "in a legislative instrument that is made by the Director of Human Biosecurity", yet another tsar. The Act has substantial penalties, typically five years gaol or 300 penalty points or both for failing to comply with directions given by the Director of Human Biosecurity. Human biosecurity control orders may be imposed on an individual if he/she has a listed human disease: section 60 (2) (a). Behaviour can be restricted

(section 87 (1)), quarantines imposed (section 68), a vaccine or medical treatment given (section 92), detention (section 103) by the Australian Federal Police and/or isolation at a "medical facility" (section 97). All of this is but a heart beat away from the establishment of medical concentration camps. As is usual with such totalitarian laws, the Act recognises that same constitutional justification is required for this massive power grab and the Act cites the quarantine power (section 51 (ix)); the External Affairs power; Trade and Commerce power; Fisheries power; Aliens power (section 51 (xix)); Corporations power; Territories and Commonwealth places power; Postal power (section 51 (v)); sickness benefits power (section 51 xxiiiA) and Census and Statistics power (section 51 xi).

Somehow, the power to make previously unheard of infringements of human liberty arises from slapping all of these powers together, and that to my mind is absurd. It is possible to add any disease to the legislative instrument because most diseases are a risk to human health. Hence, universal vaccination and imprisonment seems possible. Welcome to prison planet Australia. Once again, there is a need demonstrated for the anti-vaccination movement to begin fund raising for a High Court challenge. The basic constitutional critique is simply that section 51 does not give the Feds the power to force vaccinations. Arguably, the quarantine power could give the power of isolation, but that remains to be tested.

Ref: [http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/legislation/billsdgs/3816029/upload\\_binary/3816029.pdf](http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/parlInfo/download/legislation/billsdgs/3816029/upload_binary/3816029.pdf)

## Posted to Henry Makow's site on April 21, 2015.

Robert K. said (April 21, 2015): "The underlying cause of all the distortions and perversions in society was encapsulated in one of Henry's recent tweets: "All that is necessary for the triumph of evil is to give good men good jobs." It was a perfect analysis of our predicament, although personally I would have put "good jobs" in quotation marks.

For practical purposes it is equivalent to the startling admonition by Jesus that "The love of money is the root of all evil." Note that He didn't say that money - one of the most transformative and potentially beneficial inventions in human history - is evil *per se*. It is the acquisitive pursuit of

money as an end in itself, which leads men to compromise and sell their souls, which He denounced.

We are living in a hypnotized, if not bewitched, society, where it is virtually universally accepted that people should "work" eight hours a day five days in the week for 40 years in order to be entitled to a stipend permitting them to access goods that are increasingly being spewed out in floods of production by robots. People's minds are stuck in this incredible rut because of the propaganda that has been rained upon them by socialist and libertarian "thinkers" in the pay of the financial power, whose primary goal is that

every person and institution shall forever be beholden to it for existence. Under this baneful influence general ethics have been subverted to such an extent that collective pressure for a reform of the financial system that is both humane and logical is hard to imagine. Once you swallow the socialist, lowest-common-denominator aphorism "I'm as good as the next man" or the libertarian, divisive principle of "Every man for himself" you become side-lined in the most critical struggle in history; namely, the endeavour to replace the monopolistic policy of the Money Power by one that is distributive."

Ref: <http://www.henrymakow.com/#sthash.tYYoMVps.dpuf>

## The Radioactive Multicult: Making South Australia into a World Nuclear Waste Dump

By Uncle "Glow-in-the-Dark" Len

South Australia may soon be the world home of superheroes and super-friends - the only friends that Uncle Len presently has. "Why is that you may ask, Uncle Len?" Because we all know from seeing endless Marvel Superhero films, that radioactive waste makes super-beings out of otherwise nerdy college kids (Spider-man) and even blind lawyers (Daredevil). South Australia - soon to be flooded by radioactive waste - may soon produce its fair share of super-friends. (*The Weekend Australian*, May 9-10, 2015, p.10) And we

will need them. Already four sites up north have been offered as potential radioactive waste dumps. There is even a short-list of sites. Why, one Federal Liberal MP had tried to nominate his own remote farm but was asked not to because of a potential conflict of interest! On the agenda is the storing of international radioactive waste. Well, I am philosophical about this. Maybe Australia needs to take one for the "team", that is, the West. We gave up the fight to save our people and culture around mid-

20th century. Don't believe me? See what the sheeple of South Australia do about making South Australia into the world's radioactive waste dump. When ground water is contaminated, what will they do? If the radioactive goo was dumped in Rundle Mall, still nothing would be done. Hell, most would support it! The world ends not with a bang, not with a whimper, but with the quiet bleating of sheep that glow in the dark.

## Property, Freedom and Social Credit By James Reed

---

Hilaire Belloc's "An Essay on the Restoration of Property" was first published in 1936 by the Distributist League and republished in 2009. It is a concise read with the simple message that to be free, truly free, one needs property, and that is real property, the foundation of wealth. With property comes the freedom not to be dependent upon an employer for a wage, but where one can, if necessary, depend on one's own resources for survival. Most people who do not own their own homes are slaves to the banks, renting-to-own over a lifetime and paying off the cost of the home many times over - a sum of money created by the banks out of nothing in the first place. It is a most incredible situation that ordinarily people accept and do not challenge. Belloc was aware of C.H. Douglas and rise of the social credit movement in 1936 and early in his essay recognises the merits of social credit. He says that Douglas was primarily concerned with the idea of income, of restoring "purchasing power to the destitute masses of society ruined by industrial capitalism", while his concern in this essay was the ideal of property ownership. Clearly, the two positions are complementary rather than in conflict.

Early in his essay about the restoration of property Belloc soberly states that once the institution of property has disappeared, it may be impossible to restore. Although it is the custom to add an element of hope in political thesis, he said: "This has always seemed to me great folly. Wisdom consists in the appreciation of reality. If you approach a most difficult task under the illusion or the pretence that it is less difficult you may strengthen your supporters by the drug of illusion but you weaken them much more by persuading them to work in the void". With honesty rarely seen in "alternative" political theorists today who constantly whistle in the dark their hope, Belloc said further: "Respect for reality compels me to say that the Restoration of Property, when that institution has all but disappeared, is a task almost impossible of achievement. If it were quite impossible of achievement, it would not be worth-while wasting your breath or ink upon. It is not quite impossible of achievement; at least it is not quite impossible to start the beginnings of a change. But the odds against a reconstruction of economic freedom in a society which has long acquired the practice and habit of wage slavery is difficult

beyond any other political task". Those words were published in 1936. Australians, for example, were able to purchase a home and pay it off in a reasonable time. However, post World War II immigration, with the mantra "populate or perish" by Fabien Socialist Arthur Calwell, changed all of that. Land soon was no longer reasonably priced because of the increase in demand. Today in Sydney and Melbourne, with another mass immigration revolution designed to transform multicult Australia into an Asian Colony (the first immigration revolution was to destroy Anglo Saxon Australia); even home ownership is an ideal fast disappearing. China buys up available farmland for the purpose of feeding their own kind in the future as well as commercial and residential property. Projecting trends into the future and even 'multicult Australia' is set to be dispossessed. Neither Belloc nor Douglas could have guessed how low we could have sunk in such a short period of time. Belloc offered some useful suggestions however, about how at a grassroots level the ideal of property, primarily land could be restored, but all the battles of the past will again have to be refought.

---

### ROCCO GALATI AND THE LAWSUIT 'AGAINST' THE BANK OF CANADA

Social Credit news from Oliver Heydorn, Canada. 16 May 2015

---

*Colourful and controversial, Rocco Galati isn't your average advocate. He's a kind of legal David, known for tangling with Goliath-sized courtroom opponents. His peers seem to approve, electing him to the bench that oversees them. His latest case may be his most contentious of his career.* Finally, the mainstream media is covering constitutional lawyer Rocco Galati's case against the Bank of Canada. In essence, the Bank of Canada, which, unlike many other central banks, is a public institution, has a mandate to provide the federal,

provincial, and municipal governments with interest-free loans for various capital projects. Since 1974, the Bank, at the behest of the Bank of International Settlements (which is widely considered as the central bank of central banks and is privately owned by international financiers), has failed to fulfill this particular function. As a result, governments in Canada have had to borrow money at interest from private banks. Such money is, of course, created in the form of bank credit and out of nothing. Returning to the previous practice of relying on the

Bank of Canada to finance government projects would save taxpayers enormous sums of money in interest payments. At present, a certain percentage of the money we pay in taxes is simply redistribution from the poor and the middle class in favour of the proprietors of the financial system. While this particular alteration in our money system is not yet Social Credit, it would be one step in the direction of a financial system that is actually designed to serve the common good instead of serving private interests at public expense.

The interview is well worth listening to in its entirety.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4ZuIKXXtQNO>

I see that the well-known Sydney radio announcer, Alan Jones of 2GB, is doing his best to shame the banks into changing their policy of forcing drought-stricken, debt-ridden Queensland farmers off their lands. Alan is using some pretty strong language in his efforts to help the farmers and is to be commended for his efforts. But sadly he never gets to the crux of the matter which gets worse with every passing day. It is not the final intention of those who control the world's financial systems to release the debt-ridden world from financial slavery into the glorious freedom of financial liberty.

I admit that at one time I held out some hope that the organised churches would get the New Testament message that they cannot serve God AND Mammon, thus coming to grips with who or what is Mammon and giving their flock some teaching and directions in how God's Kingdom on earth actually works. But that hope was dashed many a year ago. Now when I read how the leaders/organisers put their 'kingdom' message I have to admit I cringe with embarrassment. 'Give your earthly treasure into God's kingdom – that way your heart will be safe.' *The Advertiser Weekend Extra* 11 April, 2015.

## **Priest-Kings came to forget the Laws of Distribution**

Canadian author and researcher into ancient money systems, David Astle, in "The Babylonian Woe", (1975) wrote: "Priest-kings and priests came to forget that the foundations of the power given to them from on High towards the maintenance of the right living and tranquil procession through life of their peoples, were the laws of distribution of surpluses as written on the scribe's tablet; laws instituted by the god himself, each ordering a specified dispensation from the surpluses in his warehouses in the Ziggurat, to the holder of the tablet. They too fell into the error of believing that silver, with value created as a result of its being used as a balancing factor in international exchange, could become a perpetual storehouse of value... They themselves became consumed in the scramble for this gleaming metal, so conceding it, through its controllers, the power to set itself up in opposition to the law of the gods; to raise itself up in its own right, a god in itself." (Mammon).

## **The Libertarians**

In a 'social credit' group-discussion 'Libertarian philosophy' was being discussed. S.H. wrote: The desire to disconnect from the system is understandable on the one hand as a

healthy revulsion toward a system that is onerous, enslaving and already very centralized. The problem though with the Pauls and the Mises institute which is Austrian economic theory is they are market worshippers/equilibrium theorists *par excellence* to the point of cultism. Ironically Austrians, of all current economic theories, recognize the pricing system to be ubiquitous and their oft-used chant of "There's no such thing as a free lunch!" confirms this... It's just that they think if you left the economy completely unregulated it would tend toward equilibrium... when of course the system is inherently cost inflationary as Douglas pointed out. Austrians are the epitome of mere economic theory. They would never countenance integrating a concept like Grace into economic theory. And why wouldn't such theory be that way when all of its originators were atheists. Bias hides in all manner of different places."

## **To which Wallace Klinck responded:**

No Steve, they would not, and cannot incorporate a concept of Grace as is demonstrated by their enduring central credo "There is no Free Lunch", a dictum which exposes their ideological origins. In this regard, it is worthwhile to look to the pedigree of ideas and I have never seen a better brief presentation than that offered in a letter to a metropolitan newspaper some years ago:

"The Jewish concept of atonement is no mere act of grace, or miracle of salvation which befalls the chosen; it demands the free ethical choice and deed of the human being. In every commandment that man fulfills in his atonement his faith is articulated in action. His deed is his atonement. As the Talmud says: "To us who have sinned, the commanding G-D speaks first, and only when we have listened to Him does He speak to us as the G-D of love; therefore, it is said in the Psalm, "the L-RD is just in all His ways, and loving in all His doings, first just and then full of love".

Nahmanides said "the Jewish New Year as the Day of Judgement is a day of justice with mercy; whereas the Day of Atonement is a day of mercy with justice. The first step in the return of man, for atonement is the work of a creative man".

"The sinner himself must turn to G-D. No one can substitute for him in his repentance. No one stands between him and G-D. No past event. No redeemer and no sacrament. He

must purify himself, for he was responsible for his impurity". "Confidence in G-D or reliance on an already acquired salvation do not suffice. Faith and trust alone are not enough. Only the deed counts. Atonement is our duty and our only way. This is the doctrine which has become the characteristic of Judaism, in contrast to Paul's gospel of redemption. The Jewish doctrine of atonement gives immediacy to the relationship between man and G-D". "Judaism as a faith of climbing on the ladder of atonement brings ethical redemption for the individual, his fellow man, and all mankind. Joined with the Jewish New Year, Yom Kippur, the Day of Atonement speaks to man at the beginning of the year of his responsibility to G-D". As Rabbi Akiba said, "you purify yourselves before your father in Heaven and He purifies you." - Milton Polinski — rabbi at the Beth Israel synagogue in Edmonton

As C. H. Douglas said: Try to derive a National Dividend from this sort of philosophy. The existing financial system has been based firmly on works and as such is fundamentally, implacably and irrevocably anti-Christian. Money is never issued in first instance directly or distributively for consumption - only for subsequent works imposed upon individuals as the only allowable means of "Salvation". Money received for work may be redistributed (stolen?) for consumption but is never initially distributed for that purpose.

The reader will note the assertion that this requirement is claimed to be mandatory for all of mankind. It appears to be the primary or central creed of "Libertarianism". Implicit is the unquestioned assumption that anyone who obtains anything "for nothing" is guilty of theft and of an immoral act. No doubt this accounts for the gratuitous condemnation of Douglas from certain quarters as being "a very immoral man", presumably for encouraging some form of institutionalized ethic of generalized theft in society at large.

Curiously, this Finance-induced attitude seems to be central to Marxism and socialism, nationalist and internationalist, and indeed appears to prevail over the entire political and ideological spectrum in general. As has been observed, "Politics makes strange bedfellows."

\* \* \* \*

## FOR THE RECORD: The New Class Agenda for Socio-Racial Control By Betty Luks

Today in 2015 we are all aware of the damage that the "race-hate" legislation, embodied in the Racial Discrimination Act, has done. Even mainstream journalists have attacked this in the lead up to Abbott's decision to cave in to the ethnic lobby and abandon his pre-election claim of winding back this legislation.

As an historical note readers may be interested in my letter to Bruce Ruxton of November 1988 (reproduced in the Christian Alternative Movement Report, volume. 1, no. 4, November, 1988) which anticipated what was to come. For example, a recent proposal by the West Australian government to stop funding over 150 "unviable" remote Aboriginal communities was immediately met by the challenge that this breached the Racial Discrimination Act. (*The Australian*, March 31, 2015, p.7) The idea that such communities shouldn't have been funded in the first place is no longer something that can be considered. And, if the Recognition Referendum succeeds, it won't.

Here is the 1988 letter to Bruce Ruxton, for the historical record,

Dear Mr. Ruxton,

Quite by chance I was encouraged to attend a very recent "One Day Workshop" in Adelaide, which I later learned was convened by the Office of Multicultural Affairs (OMA). The title given for the workshop was "Towards a National Agenda for a Multicultural Australia", and the invitations went out in the name of Sen Robert Ray, the new Minister for Immigration, Local Government and Ethnic Affairs.

The "workshop" was divided up into discussion groups, each group to deal with a particular aspect of this abstraction called "multiculturalism". I chose to attend "Social Justice" in the morning session and "Community Relations" in the afternoon session.

When each participant in my group gave their name and background I discovered everyone else in that group was either an employee of some government department, e.g., Education Department, Equal Opportunity, Aboriginal Affairs, etc, or a member of a government funded organisation, e.g., a representative from an "ethnic" community group. To my knowledge, I was the only person there as a private citizen.

In the morning session all discussion proceeded from the basis that yes, we must have a "Multicultural Australia" and it was simply a matter of how to force it on the Australian people (by legislation), how to implement it, and how to monitor

(police) it.

Some of the suggestions included:

Use the Women's Issues as a model of how to get the thing into place.

OMA to monitor what is going on. That means OMA to have the power to go to e.g., the 'schools', including private, and ask to see their records to make sure they have included this "multiculturalism" into their curriculum. If not, why not, and Auditor General's Department to reconsider government funding if policy is not implemented. This is called "mainstreaming".

Of course for this they must have resources - more people and more money will be required to set up this legal/institutional mechanism. This is achieving "social justice". "Social justice" is not for all Australians. It must be targeted at certain disadvantaged groups. The end result would be "equity of outcome".

They had no doubt the legislation would originate from the Commonwealth because the Commonwealth Government had the lever (power) to force the change through its control of the national purse strings and tied grants. One suggestion was the States should be abolished because they often thwart the marvelous plans these people have for us.

Another was a Commonwealth/State Intergovernment Committee should be set up for the purpose of developing a Multicultural Treaty, (yes, I mean a Treaty). Local Government also got a mention, it was considered not enough attention had been devoted to Local Government, and should the collected Councilors "drag their heels", pressure was to be applied to the paid staff. The onus would be on the paid official to implement policy.

There were specific, task designated "agents of change" needed; implementing and evaluating the thrust and effectiveness of this Multicultural Policy, away from the present Monocultural perspective.

One young man of "ethnic" German background stated words to the effect, "We are trying to influence people to think in the RIGHT way".

I realised that I was in what was really a "closed shop" situation and kept my mouth shut and listened (and took rough notes). I had not registered for the workshop and certainly was not known to any of the group. I believe, on the pretence of taking photographs of the group, my photograph was taken from two angles, for future reference or check up.

Finally I am coming to why I am writing to you. The afternoon session I attended was to deal with what came under the heading of "Community Relations". Some may have

been naive enough to believe that ways and means have been sought to deal with any problems at the local community level, but oh no! The discussion centered around "what form of guarantee could be devised for the maintenance of this autonomous multiculturalism"?

I will sum up what I believe they are really after:

What is needed is something like a "Multicultural or Heritage Act".

Its fundamental premise is really a Bill of Rights, guaranteeing the Cultural and Ethnic Maintenance of the diverse groups in Australia.

Legislation along the lines of what they have in the United Kingdom's Race Relations Legislation will be an important element of this Act, and along with the legislation Nick Greiner is introducing, (or has introduced, I'm not sure), is drawn from the United Nations' Covenant of Civil and Political Rights.

Go for a "Multicultural Act" now and down the road try for a "Bill of Rights".

Guess whose names came up as particular people such legislation was aimed at? Why Bruce Ruxton and Nancy Wake of course!

There was a lawyer in the group who saw it as important that such legislation was put into effect to silence such people as Bruce Ruxton and Nancy Wake. It was suggested there may already be criminal laws that would effectively deal with such as you, but this lawyer thought it was bad tactics to treat you as a criminal. Why, the people may even see you as some sort of a hero and public anger would be aroused! Better to deal with the likes of you under the civil laws and try to hurt you in other ways. I guess psycho/politically and/or financially. According to this lawyer, the legal sanctions are already there, all that is needed are some minor changes to the Commonwealth's Race Discrimination Act. What is needed before the government would (or could) act is the "political will" and community support...

At no time was it suggested that we as Australians should be consulted as to whether we consent to the "de facto" implementation of the United Nations' Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and at no time was it suggested that the Australian Australians be advised that the "National Agenda for a Multicultural Australia" was in fact a plan for fragmenting this nation into a hotch potch of racial, cultural and political entities with the result that the host nation would be weakened, fragmented and eventually die. I do hope this communication will be of some service to you Mr Ruxton. Yours sincerely, Betty Luks (Mrs)

# DAIRY COWS INTENSELY HAPPY UNDER COVER

Sue Neales, *The Australian*

One of Queensland's richest mining service entrepreneurs and property developers is taking a plunge into Australia's \$4 billion dairy industry as the coal boom dulls. Bill McDonald, 42, whose MCG Group has developed several coal mines in the past decade and, more recently, inner-city Brisbane apartments, is building a paddock-to-plate dairy empire. Mr McDonald is converting cropping properties in far western Victoria and southeast South Australia's grain belt to dairy farms milking 30,000- 40,000 cows.

The businessman, worth about \$150 million, joins the growing list of corporations and institutional investors looking to make their Australian dairy farming ventures more profitable and less risky by housing cows in large barns. Cows kept in massive sheds and fed hay, corn, grain and silage produce double the amount of milk than the average Australian cow, since they don't need to walk several kilometres each day from the paddock to be milked, or use energy keeping warm.

Garry McNamara and his wife, Judy, have been housing 500 cows under cover on their 1300-cow farm near Colac since



2001, under a vast open-sided pitched roof shed that is as long as the Melbourne Cricket Ground.

Mr McNamara says his cows, which can walk freely around the concrete and sawdust floored shed, eat as much as they want and sleep on sand beds, are under cover for three or four months after calving, when their milk production is greatest, and are then rotated back on to grass.

"Having the shed allows us to run more cattle (on their 500ha farm), produce more milk and stops us having to put cows in wet paddocks in winter where they bog it up and stop the good grass growth in spring," Mr McNamara said yesterday. "Are they happy? Well, it is certainly better conditions in here in winter when it is wet, raining, cold and boggy outside and they eat and produce well, which is always the best way to tell if they are healthy or not."

**Further very important reading:** <http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/nation/inside-story-dairy-cows-intensely-happy-under-cover/story-e6frg6nf-1227356884299>

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/national-affairs/foreign-affairs/mad-cow-disease-a-risk-for-trans-pacific-partnership-trade-talks/story-fn59nm2j-1227359518609>

<http://outbreaknewstoday.com/hong-kong-reports-another-probable-case-of-sporadic-creutzfeldt-jakob-disease-64553/>

<http://www.labmanager.com/news/2015/05/grass-plants-can-transport-infectious-prions-university-research-says#.VVuTKOvASV8>

## HOW GREED TURNS A DAIRY COW INTO A MACHINE.

Dr David Pascoe BVSc PhD OVH Repro May 16 at 11:27pm · Edited

While Bill McDonald, former mining entrepreneur and property developer might see his next fortune in intensive shedded milk production, we would like to ask a few questions on behalf of both the cow - and the consumer. The first thing he needs to do is impose the same living conditions on himself and his wife for the next twelve months. Yes, live in a cell on cement - complete with sawdust and sand beds - and see how he likes it. Oh, and no shoes, please. We'd like to see the same sore feet from cement and wet

sawdust - cows do pee a lot - and how that really affects your mental state.

Next, how does milk produced without any sunlight provide both the cow and the consumer with vitamin D? Or do you just quietly slip it into the milk and feel good about your profits?

Next we come to the matter of exercise, and the fact that cows are being denied their usual stroll up to the bails from the grassy paddocks.

Perhaps Bill could install some snappy no-slip treadmills to make sure they clock up

the miles, reduce stress and stay happy cows.

Never mind. Bill insists that the cows prefer to be inside with their hooves up in front of the fire instead of being out there in the rain and all that messy mud.

Perhaps somebody might like to tell Bill that cows actually evolved this way, and they're really rather happy just being... um, cows.

Never mind, it's just like building apartments for humans or providing services to FIFO's for mines, isn't it, Bill?

**Read further:** <https://www.facebook.com/OVHRepro/posts/694877907306756>

**"ON TARGET"** is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights,  
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749.

Subscription \$45.00 p.a.



It was in 1989 Geoffrey Dobbs wrote of the "Currie'd Egg Row" in Britain ("Cheapness at a Nasty Price" *Home Journal*'). The uproar was about the outbreak of *salmonella enteritidis* in egg production; the salmonella being a bacterium that can infect both the gut and the oviduct in chickens without obvious sickness, but can cause food poisoning in humans. All foods carry innumerable bacteria which our bodies deal with, and our senses are trained to detect food going bad, but when heat-treated poultry excreta or offals, or putrid, ground-up dead sheep are fed to our food sources, we are inviting all sorts of troubles. The circumstances in which the 1989 outbreak occurred cast a spotlight on the sort of food being fed to factory-chickens and to other animals mass-produced for human consumption. The public was to learn that a probable source of the salmonella in the egg production was the inclusion of poultry-excrement as well as edible parts of their bodies (e.g. guts, feet and heads) in the chicken food. A media-howl went up from the producers of this dubious food and forced the resignation of the then health minister (named Currie). Geoffrey warned, "Hens are not carnivorous by nature and neither are cows; but we learn that a particularly horrible disease called BSE (*bovine spongiform encephalopathy*, which drives cows mad), has been traced to giving dairy cows feed containing the ground-up remains of sheep infected with a disease called scrapie. He also noted. "It is only the big corporations that supply the super-markets which have the necessary capital and equipment to grind up and heat-treat these unacceptable items and mix them up with vegetable matter so as to obscure their nature and origin." He called for "hormone-fed beef and poultry excreta or offals meat, or eggs laid by hens fed on, and milk and meat from cows fed on ground-up sheep to all be prominently labelled, and let the super-markets see what profits they can make out of them when the public knows what it is buying!"

There are fears that 'mad cow' disease is now in sheep flocks, not surprisingly we read in *The Age*, 2/11/2000, that there are great fears in Britain that the continued practice of using 'recycled species' (notice how this term sounds so much more acceptable than 'dead diseased sheep' or 'offal' or 'manure and feathers'?) may have spread to other animals.

The headlines bear out Geoffrey Dobbs' concerns as we read, "Fears 'mad cow' now in sheep flocks". Unwisely (or greedily) "Britain introduced a ban on animal remains in cattle food in 1988 but stopped short of banning 'cannibalism' for all livestock..." A judicial inquiry has found that a 'culture of reassurance' by government ministers and officials had misled the British public about the BSE epidemic, forcing the Blair government to review its health regulations. It was also reported 'intra-species recycling' could risk a new BSE-like disease in another animal, and "Some experts suggested that the new ban should ultimately be widened to outlaw the feeding of feathers and chicken manure to poultry and even fish waste to fish". What a revolting thought!

One would hope the fish farms in Australia are not feeding their fish kept in fish-lots 'recycled intra-species'. Surely the British farmers have a strong case against the big corporations who produced and promoted the food containing animal remains, let alone the families of those who have died as a result of eating the contaminated meat!

### **Instinct and Commonsense the Best Guides**

A biologist by profession, in 1989 Dr. Dobbs explained to his readers. "The real cycle of such organic material passes first through the soil, which alone is equipped to deal with its vast array of organisms: bacteria, protozoa, fungi, mites, insects, etc., and then through plants preferably twice, before it is suitable for ingestion by any but 'scavenger' species, as experience which has settled into unconscious instinct, has

long shown".

### **Implications of Vaccine Brushed Aside**

In 1998 our UK sister-publication *On Target*, quoting from *The Daily Telegraph*, 27/2 brought to the readers attention a possible link between the MMR triple vaccine (for measles, mumps and rubella) and bowel disease that could in turn be linked to autism in children.

"Within two weeks of this report being publicly aired, which is a breathtakingly short time for any form of investigation, the Chief Medical Officer, Sir Kenneth Calman strongly defended the existing immunisation policy and the World Health Organisation, eight royal colleges and medical associations were cited to back up his stand."

The UK *On Target* went on to report 3,000,000 cattle were in the process of being slaughtered at enormous cost to the farmers and yet the implications for children of the MMR triple vaccine were brushed aside. Nearly three years later, October 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2000, the Melbourne newspaper, *Sunday Herald Sun*, carried an article with the headlines *Vaccine Recall Fears* from Peter Kononczuk in London. Britain's health minister has announced the recall of a polio vaccine already used on millions of children because of fears it could transmit the human variant of mad cow disease (BSE). The Medeva vaccine has been used in the UK since the early 1980s and has accounted for a third of the inoculations given to children and travelers. Britain's Medicines Control Agency ordered the recall after it was discovered the vaccine was produced using material from British calf foetuses (calf serum) as a growth agent. It seems the Department of Health had repeatedly "sought and received assurances from Medeva that British bovine material was not being used in the production". The article reported British pharmaceutical giant SmithKline Beecham will provide a replacement vaccine. God help the little children!

# Baltimore: The Shape of Things to Come by Peter Ewer

A book first published in 1967, but still highly relevant to our times, is Ivor Benson's "The Opinion Makers". In a nutshell, relevant to material at the times, Benson describes how the media manufacture opinion, distort the truth and openly lie to promote the ideology which he calls "liberalism" but today is more accurately described as "globalism" (cosmopolitan ethics, anti-white/European racism, feminism, multiculturalism, multiracialism etc). Written long before the end of Apartheid, Benson opens his book with the shocking example of the proposal on March 17, 1967 by the Mayor of Kitwe, Zambia, who proposed that two principal streets be renamed in honour of two (black) men hanged for the murder of Mrs Lillian Burton in 1960. She had been raped, lumps of hair pulled out, and severely burnt. As Benson puts it, the Mayor "explained that they had contributed to Zambia's struggle for freedom and should

be remembered by posterity as men who died for an ideal". (p.12) Today, almost half a century later, matters are unbelievably worse. The mainstream press has totally absorbed the globalist ideology and pursues it rather than anything resembling truth. Events which do not fit their politically correct pattern are simply not reported at all. Thus the Baltimore riots were portrayed as blacks rebelling against white racist cops, even though the Baltimore police are well represented by blacks. Next to nothing reached the mainstream press about the plight of Baltimore resident, 61yo Richard Fletcher. He was jumped by around 50 black teens and almost beaten to death. His eye sockets were crushed and he, although alive, faces a medical bill of up to \$400,000. This is but one example of thousands of incidents that have occurred which do not fit the politically correct world of the established media and are not reported, or

where the real significance of the event is not disclosed. That is why alternative media, operating through the Internet is the lifeblood of truth today.

**Editorial comment:** Internet censorship in Australia currently consists of a regulatory regime under which the Australian Communications and Media Authority (ACMA) has the power to enforce content restrictions on Internet content hosted within Australia, and maintain a "black-list" of overseas websites which is then provided for use in filtering software. **The restrictions focus primarily** on child pornography, sexual violence, and other illegal activities, compiled as a result of a consumer complaints process. There you have it... if someone complains then the framework is in place to control access to 'unacceptable' information now freely available on the Internet. All for your own good, of course!

  
*Veritas Books*

ABN 83008 931619

VeritasBooks.com.au

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159 SA.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131

Email: [admin@veritasbooks.com.au](mailto:admin@veritasbooks.com.au)

\*\*\*\*\*

## BOOKS, BOOKS AND OTHER MEDIA!

Veritas has a wide range of books not found in the usual run of book outlets.

The subject matter ranges through Censored History, Education, Environment, Finance Economics, Health, Politics, Race & Culture, Social Credit and various Multi-Media presentations.

Subscriptions to Australian League of Rights publications.

Payment can be made online for ease of shopping.

LEAGUE'S WEBSITE ADDRESS: [www.alor.org/](http://www.alor.org/)

THE LEAGUE'S BOOK SERVICES: —

When ordering journals, '*On Target*' and '*New Times Survey*' —  
Please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to—

'ALOR Journals' .

For educational books, videos and DVDs, etc. please make Cheques/Money Orders payable to —

'Heritage Bookshop Services'

For donations to the League please make payments to '*Australian League of Rights*' or '*ALOR*'

Books are available at meetings, or by mail order from the following addresses:

**Victoria, Tasmania:** Heritage Bookshop,

Level 9, Suite 8, 118-120 Queen Street, Melbourne, 3000

(G.P.O. Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001).

Phone 03 9600 0677

**South Australia**

Heritage Book Mailing Service,

P.O. Box 27, Happy Valley, 5159.

Phone: (08) 7123 7131

**All Other States:** To either Victorian or South Australian addresses.

VERITASBOOKS ONLINE: [veritasbooks.com.au/](http://veritasbooks.com.au/)

You will find the audio / video library here...

<http://alor.org/avLibrary/index.php>

Electoral comment authorised by Louis R. Cook,

Nathalia Rd, Numurkah.